



178776

Application
for
United States Letters Patent

To all whom it may concern:

Be it known that

Anil V. Nadkarni, John T. Abrams and Roy Kelly

has invented certain new and useful improvements in

LEAD-FREE FRANGIBLE BULLETS
AND PROCESS FOR MAKING SAME

of which the following is a full, clear and exact description.



3 informal

1454.00 - 101 - A
08/678776

LEAD-FREE FRANGIBLE BULLETS AND PROCESS FOR MAKING SAME

5

Background of the Invention:

10

Traditionally bullets for small arms ammunition have been manufactured from lead and lead alloys. The major advantages of lead as a bullet material are its relatively low cost, high density and high ductility. The high density of lead has been particularly important to bullet design because the energy generated by the weight of a bullet is critical to the proper functioning of modern semi-automatic and automatic weapons, the in-flight stability of the round, and the terminal effects of the bullet.

15

20

The highly toxic nature of lead, however, and its propensity to fume and generate airborne particulate, place the shooter at an extreme health risk. The more a range is used, the more lead residue builds up, and the greater the resulting lead fume and lead dust pollution (particularly for indoor ranges). Moreover, the lead bullet residue left in the earthen berm of outdoor ranges can leach into the soil and contaminate water tables. In order for indoor ranges to operate safely, they require extensive and expensive air filtration systems, and both indoor and outdoor ranges require constant de-leading. These clean up operations are time consuming, costly and repetitive. Accordingly, there is a great need for lead-free bullets.

Additionally, personnel at range operations are concerned with the ricochet

2

potential and the likelihood of causing "back-splatter" of the training ammunition. Back-splatter is a descriptive term for the bullet debris that bounces back in the direction of the shooter after a bullet impacts on a hard surface, such as steel targets or backstops. Ricochets present a significant hazard to individuals, equipment and structures in and
5 around live firing ranges. A ricochet can be caused by a glancing impact by a bullet on almost any medium. Back-splatter presents a significant danger to shooters, training personnel standing on or around the firing line and observers. When a bullet strikes a hard surface at or near right angles, the bullet will either break apart or deform. There is still energy in the bullet mass, however, and that mass and its energy must go
10 somewhere. Since the target material or backstop is impenetrable, the mass bounces back in the direction of the shooter.

It is believed that a key way to minimizing the risk of both ricochet and back-splatter is to maximize the frangibility of the bullet. By designing the bullet to fracture into small pieces, one reduces the mass of each fragment, in turn reducing the overall
15 destructive energy remaining in the fragments.

Several prior art patents disclose materials and methods for making non-toxic or frangible bullets or projectiles. For example, United States Patent No. 5,442,989 to Anderson discloses projectiles wherein the casing is frangible and made out of molded stainless steel powder or a stainless steel + pure iron powder mix with up to 2% by
20 weight of graphite. The casing encloses a penetrator rod made of a hard material such

as tungsten or tungsten carbide. This projectile is mainly for 20 - 35 mm cannons to engage targets such as armored vehicles, trucks, buildings, ships, etc. Upon impact against the target, the casing produces fragments which are thrown in all directions with great energy while the penetrator rod pierces the target.

5 United States Patent No. 4,165,692 to Dufort discloses a projectile with a brittle sintered metal casing having a hollow interior chamber defined by a tapering helix with sharp edge stress risers which provide fault lines and cause the projectile to break up into fragments upon impact against a hard surface. The casing is made of pressed iron powder which is then sintered. This projectile is also designed for large caliber rounds
10 such as 20 mm cannon shots.

United States Patent No. 5,399,187 to Mravic et. al. discloses a lead-free bullet which comprises sintered composite having one or more high density powders selected from tungsten, tungsten carbide, ferrotungsten, etc., and a lower density constituent selected from tin, zinc, iron, copper or a plastic matrix material. These composite
15 powders are pressed and sintered. The high density constituent allows bullet densities approaching 9 g/cm³.

United States Patent No. 5,078,054 to Sankaranarayanan et. al. discloses a frangible projectile comprising a body formed from iron powder with 2 to 5% by weight of graphite or iron with 3 to 7% by weight of Al₂O₃. The powders are compacted by

cold pressing in a die or isostatic pressing, and then sintered.

United States Patent No. 5,237,930 to Belanger et. al. discloses a frangible practice ammunition comprising compacted mixture of fine copper powder and a thermoplastic resin selected from nylon 11 and nylon 12. The copper content is up to about 93% by weight. The bullets are made by injection molding and are limited to densities of about 5.7 g/cm³. A typical 9 mm bullet only weighs about 85 grains.

None of the above discussed patents disclose or suggest lead-free, frangible bullets made of predominately copper with densities approaching that of conventional bullets. An objective of this invention is to provide a range of lead-free frangible bullets, optimized for frangibility, which will eliminate the lead fumes and dust hazard to the shooter while also minimizing the ricochet and back-splatter hazards. A further objective is to provide a low cost material and process for making such a bullet. Yet another objective is to provide a bullet with a weight (hence density) as high and as close to the conventional lead bullet as possible so that the recoil and the firing characteristics closely resemble those of conventional lead bullets. Yet another objective is to reduce the risk of lead residues leaching into the soil and water table in and around shooting ranges.

Summary of the Invention:

The invention relates to bullets having increased frangibility (or which can be easily fragmented) and to powder materials and processes for the manufacture of such bullets. The bullets of the present invention are made from copper or copper alloy
5 powders, including brass, bronze and dispersion strengthened copper. In preferred embodiments of the invention, the bullets also contain several additives that increase or decrease their frangibility. Additionally, the invention provides a simple low cost process to make bullets that is amenable to mass production via automation.

Brief Description of the Drawings:

10 Figure 1 - shows a side elevation view of a typical 9 mm bullet.

Figure 2 - shows a side elevation view of a typical 40 caliber bullet.

Figure 3 - shows a frangible bullet test setup.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments:

15 The embodiments described in this section and illustrated in the drawings are intended as examples only and are not to be construed as limiting. In fact there are hundreds of bullet designs (at least) that could be made using the materials and the processes described in this disclosure. Moreover, the present disclosure is not intended as a treatise on bullet manufacturing and readers are referred to appropriate, available texts in the field for additional and detailed information on bullet manufacture and other

aspects of practicing the invention.

Referring to Figures 1 and 2, typical bullets have a cylindrical body (1) with a tapered nose portion (2). The tip of the nose (3) can have various shapes, e.g., it can be flat as shown in Figure 2, radiused as in Figure 1 or spherical for better aerodynamics. The base (4) can be flat or have a boat tail on it or be in other shapes.

Copper is the preferred material of choice for making the bullets of this invention. It is non-toxic and has a reasonably high density - 8.96 g/cm^3 vs. 11.3 g/cm^3 for lead. Copper powder technologies offer ways to make the bullets frangible; the metal is otherwise very ductile and will deform excessively and ricochet upon impact against a hard surface. The preferred process to make the bullets of this invention involves first blending the powder with a suitable lubricant, typically a stearate or wax, and then cold compacting the powder in a die at a pressure that produces a part having a green strength sufficient to permit handling of the part without chipping. The density of the compacted part is adjusted to provide sufficient interconnected porosity to allow for the lubricant vapor to escape during subsequent sintering treatment.

The bullets are then preferably sintered by heating in a protective atmosphere to prevent oxidation. The sintering can be done in a belt furnace which has three zones. The first zone called the "preheat zone" is set to a temperature sufficient to burn the lubricant off, typically $1000 - 1200^\circ\text{F}$. The second zone called the "high heat" zone is

set to the sintering temperature, typically the 1500 - 1900°F range, the exact temperature depending on the material and the frangibility required. The third zone called the "cool zone" typically has a water jacket surrounding it which allows the bullets to be cooled to room temperature in a protective atmosphere. The sintering time is adjusted by
5 controlling the belt speed. The bullets may be repressed or coined after the sintering treatment to increase their density further. This allows production of heavier bullets by using a longer preform and yet keeping the overall dimensions of the final bullets the same. Optionally, the bullets may be resintered if necessary to provide higher ductility or reduced frangibility.

10 Copper powder pressed to a density between 7.5 to 8.5 g/cm³, preferably about 8.0 g/cm³ and sintered at 1500 to 1900°F, preferably about 1700°F, has been found to have excellent firing characteristics and frangibility. Lower density and lower sintering temperature increase the frangibility while higher density and higher sintering temperature increase the ductility. A delicate balance must be struck between frangibility and
15 ductility. The bullets must have sufficient ductility to withstand the firing operation without breaking up in the barrel of the gun or in flight up to the target. The bullet must also have sufficient frangibility so that it breaks up into small pieces upon impact against a hard surface.

It must be noted that different users of ammunition may prefer different degrees
20 of frangibility. Some prefer to have complete breakup into powder to eliminate any

ricochet or back-splatter and minimum penetration of the steel backstop while others will require retention of base pieces sufficiently large to preserve the rifling marks to assist in identifying the weapon which fired the bullet. Some others may prefer breakup into small pieces rather than powder to minimize airborne particles, and at the same time also minimize the ricochet potential.

The technology disclosed in this invention can accommodate most, if not all, of the frangibility requirements. As mentioned above, one way to control frangibility is through control of density, sintering temperature and sintering time. Another way is to use additives to the copper powder. Several elements or compounds can be added to the copper powder to increase or decrease frangibility and reduce penetration of and damage to range backstops. One of the objects of these additives is to coat the copper powder particles with inert second phases and thus partially impede the sintering process so that the bonds formed between the particles are embrittled. One group of additives are oxides such as Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 , TiO_2 , MgO , MoO_3 , etc. These may be added in powder form and blended or mechanically milled with the copper powder, or chemically formed by processes such as internal oxidation. One particular embodiment of this invention is to use a commercial Al_2O_3 Dispersion Strengthened Copper (DSC) produced by the internal oxidation process. As the examples will show, the DSC material and copper with mixed SiO_2 powder produced bullets with excellent firing characteristics and increased frangibility. Surprisingly, MoO_3 addition decreased frangibility.

Another group of additives is solid lubricants such as graphite, MoS_2 , MnS , CaF_2 , etc. As the examples will show, the bullets made using graphite as an additive showed good firing characteristics and increased frangibility, while MoS_2 addition decreased frangibility.

5 Yet another group of additives is nitrides such as BN , SiN , AlN , etc. Boron nitride in hexagonal crystallographic form (HBN) is preferred as this behaves much like graphite and acts as a solid lubricant. Bullets made with HBN as an additive have good firing characteristics and increased frangibility.

10 The additives mentioned above can be used in combinations as well. For example, bullets made with graphite and SiO_2 additions show good firing characteristics and increased frangibility.

Additionally, carbides such as WC , SiC , TiC , NbC , etc., and borides such as TiB_2 , ZrB_2 , CaB_6 may also be used to increase the frangibility.

15 Common copper alloy powders such as brass and bronze can also be used to make the bullets of this invention. These alloys are harder than copper and thus need to be pressed at higher pressures. Lower sintering temperatures must be used for these alloys, as brass loses zinc by vaporization while the bronze produces lower melting phases. Recommended sintering temperatures for the bullets of this invention are 1500 to 1700°F. Some of the additives described above for copper can also be used for brass and bronze

powders if necessary to increase the frangibility. Mixtures of copper and zinc or copper and tin powders may also be used instead of prealloyed brass and bronze powders.

Examples:

5 The following examples illustrate embodiments of the process and the lead-free frangible bullets of the present invention.

Example I: Five different grades of copper powder produced by SCM Metal Products, Inc. (hereinafter "SCM") were blended with a lubricant. These were assigned following blend numbers:

- 10 1) 99.75% 150RXM + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
a
a 2) 99.75% 150RXH + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
a
a 3) 99.75% 100RXM + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
a 4) 99.75% 100RXH + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
a 5) 99.75% FOS-WC + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C

15 About 115 grain (7.5 g) samples of the powder blend were pressed (molded) in a die to make the 9 mm bullets shown in Figure-1. The bullets were sintered in a belt furnace under nitrogen. Density of bullets was determined using the water immersion technique.

20 The sintered bullets were loaded by Delta Frangible Ammunition LLC (hereinafter "Delta") into 9 mm Luger[®] primed cartridge cases using sufficient commercial smokeless

propellant to produce velocities and pressures within the range normally encountered for 9 mm Luger[®] ammunition. The completed rounds were test fired. The test setup is shown in Figure-3. Both instrumented test barrels and commercially available 9 mm pistols and sub-machine guns (5) were used. The absence of breakup in the barrel or in flight was determined by placing paper witness cards (6) along the flight of the bullet. Frangibility was determined by allowing the bullets to impact a thick (5/8 inch) steel backstop (7) placed perpendicular to the bullet's line of flight at the rear end of a wooden collection box (8). The bullets entered the collection box through a hole covered with a paper witness card. The fragments generated from the impact of the bullets against the steel plate were collected. Any intact "bases" were pulled out and the rest of the fragments were screened over a Tyler 14 mesh (1190 μ m) screen. The component collected over the screen (> 1190 μ m) was labeled "chunks" and the remainder passing through the screen (< 1190 μ m) was labeled "powder". Each component was weighed and the weight percentage of each was calculated as a percentage of the total mass collected. In order to rate the different compositions of the invention as to their frangibility, weight factors were assigned to the three components as follows:

Powder: 60% or 0.60

Chunks: 30% or 0.30

Bases: 10% or 0.10

The "score" for each composition was calculated by multiplying the weight % of each component by its weight factor and adding the three numbers as follows:

$$\text{Score} = 0.60 \times \text{Wt. \% Powder} + 0.30 \times \text{Wt. \% Chunks} + 0.10 \times \text{Wt. \% Bases}$$

Frangibility ratings were then developed based on the score for each composition

as follows:

T130X

5

Score

Frangibility Rating

< 15

1

16-25

2

26-35

3

36-45

4

> 45

5

- 10 The rating of 1, representing the lowest frangibility, had the highest weight % of bases while the rating of 5, representing the highest frangibility, had the highest weight % of powder.

- 15 Table-1 shows the pertinent processing data on the bullets and the firing test results. The data shows that densities over 8.2 g/cm³ were achieved; this compares to 5.7 g/cm³ typical of commercial injection molded copper-nylon bullets of the type described in United States Patent No. 5,237,930 (the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference into the present disclosure). The higher densities allow heavier bullets to be produced without changing the overall dimensions; in fact it is possible to produce 120 grain bullets in the geometry shown in Figure-1 which compares to 80-85 grain bullets typical of the copper-nylon type described above. These bullets thus more closely
- 20

13

resemble the firing characteristics of conventional lead bullets now used in the field.

None of the bullets broke up in the gun barrel or flight, indicating good integrity. The data in Table 1 shows that the bullets made from the above copper powders had satisfactory frangibility. The 150RXH grade of copper had higher frangibility than the other grades examined. All these bullets did very little damage to the steel backstop.

Example II: This example illustrates the effect of oxide additions on frangibility. Copper powder grade 150RXM was used as the control material and all results were compared to the bullets made from this powder. Additions of oxides were made to this powder to determine their effects. In one experiment the FOS-WC copper powder was used. GlidCop® dispersion strengthened copper AL-25 (copper + 0.5 wt. % Al_2O_3) grade powder produced by SCM was also used in one of the experiments. The following powder blends were made:

- a 6) 99.70% 150RXM + 0.05% SiO_2 + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
- a 7) 99.65% 150RXM + 0.10% SiO_2 + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
- 15 a 8) 99.65% 150RXM + 0.10% MoO_3 + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
- a 9) 99.50% FOS-WC + 0.25% SiO_2 + 0.25% Acrawax^(R)C
- 10) 99.75% AL-25 + 0.25% Acrawax C

Bullets were produced and test fired as described in Example I.

Table 2 shows the relevant processing and firing test data. The data shows that

addition of SiO_2 does indeed increase frangibility. Blend 7 containing 0.10% SiO_2 made significantly more frangible bullets than the comparable Blend 1, while the addition of 0.05% SiO_2 in Blend 6 did not appear to have a significant effect on frangibility. The addition of 0.25% SiO_2 in Blend 9 coupled with the lower compaction pressure (lower density) and lower sintering temperature, on the other hand, made the bullet too frangible and it broke up before hitting the target. A higher compaction pressure (higher density) and higher sintering temperature may produce a bullet with sufficient integrity to survive firing. GlidCop® AL-25 which contains 0.5% Al_2O_3 (Blend 10) also made a bullet that survived the firing and broke up when it hit the target. This bullet was not as frangible as the control bullets of Blend 1, but this is believed to be due to the high sintering temperature normally used for GlidCop®. The frangibility of GlidCop® bullet could be increased further by reducing the sintering temperature or lowering the density. Surprisingly, the addition of MoO_3 (Blend 8) decreased the frangibility significantly; there was almost no powder recovered in the fragments. It is possible that the high partial pressure generated at sintering temperature by the dissociation of MoO_3 could have aided in the vapor transport of copper atoms, thus activating the sintering process and creating stronger more ductile bonds.

Example III: This example illustrates the effect of solid lubricants on frangibility.

Graphite and MoS_2 were used as solid lubricants. Following blends were made:

- 11) 99.70% 150RXM + 0.05% graphite + 0.25% Acrawax C

- 12) 99.65 % 150RXM + 0.10 % graphite + 0.25 % Acrawax C
- 13) 99.50 % FOS-WC + 0.25 % graphite + 0.25 % Acrawax C
- 14) 99.65 % 150RXM + 0.10 % MoS₂ + 0.25 % Acrawax C

Bullets were produced and test fired as described in Example I.

5 Table 3 shows the relevant processing and firing test data. The data shows that
0.05 % graphite (Blend 11) does not change the frangibility, while 0.10 % graphite (Blend
12) increases frangibility somewhat, as indicated by the higher score for this material.
However, a higher amount of graphite is needed to increase frangibility significantly.
Addition of 0.25 % graphite to FOS-WC copper in Blend 13 made the bullet so frangible
10 it broke up in the barrel, although this may have been due to the lower density and lower
sintering temperature used. Higher density and higher sintering temperature would most
likely produce a bullet with sufficient ductility to withstand firing. The addition of 0.10 %
MoS₂ (Blend 14) had the same surprising effect as observed with MoO₃ in that the
frangibility decreased significantly. Here again, some effect of the additive on the
15 sintering kinetics of copper is suspected.

Example IV: This example illustrates the effect of combined addition of an oxide
and a solid lubricant. Blends were made with two different levels of SiO₂ and graphite
added to the 150RXM powder. A blend was also made with graphite addition to AL-25
as follows:

- 20 15) 99.70 % 150RXM + 0.025 % SiO₂ + 0.025 % graphite + 0.25 % Acrawax C

16) 99.65% 150RXM + 0.05% SiO₂ + 0.05% graphite + 0.25% Acrawax C

17) 99.50% AL-25 + 0.25% graphite + 0.25% Acrawax C

Bullets were made and test fired as described in Example I.

5 Table 4 shows the relevant processing and firing test data. The data shows that
a combined addition of graphite and SiO₂ had an effect similar to the addition of either
of the components at the same level. A level of 0.05% (Blend 15) did not have a
significant effect on the frangibility while a level of 0.10% (Blend 16) did have a
significant effect. Addition of 0.25 graphite to GlidCop® AL-25 (Blend 17) made a bullet
with sufficient ductility to survive firing, but significantly higher frangibility than plain
10 AL-25 as in Blend 10.

Example V: This example illustrates the effect of a nitride addition on frangibility.
A blend was made with an addition of hexagonal boron nitride (HBN) as follows:

18) 99.65% 150RXM + 0.10% HBN + 0.25% Acrawax C

Bullets were produced and test fired as described in Example I.

15 Table 5 shows the relevant processing and test firing data. HBN is not only a
nitride, it has a crystallographic structure identical to graphite in that the hexagonal
platelets slide over each other readily. Therefore, it is used as a solid lubricant. The
frangibility data shows that an HBN addition had the same effect to that of graphite at the
same level. At 0.10% addition (Blend 18), the frangibility was increased somewhat, but

higher additions would be required to make a more significant impact on frangibility. Other nitrides including the cubic form of boron nitride (CBN) could also be used although the latter may be too abrasive to the tooling.

Example VI: This example illustrates that copper alloy powders can also be used to make bullets according to this invention. A 70:30 brass (copper:zinc) powder and a 90:10 bronze (copper:tin) powder were used. The following blends were made:

19) 99.75% 70:30 Brass + 0.25% Acrawax C

20) 99.75% 90:10 Bronze + 0.25% Acrawax C

Bullets were made and test fired as described in Example-1.

Table-6 shows the relevant processing and test firing data on these bullets. The data shows that the 70:30 brass powder is much harder than the 150R XM powder and gives a lower density. Both brass and bronze are very sensitive to sintering temperatures used. In both cases a 1500°F sintering temperature (Blends 19A and 20A) produced a bullet that was too frangible and broke up before hitting the target and almost completely went back to powder. At 1600°F the brass (Blend 19B) just slightly broke up before hitting the target and was still quite frangible. The bronze (Blend 20B), on the other hand, was quite ductile at this temperature and had a fairly low frangibility. At 1700°F the brass (Blend 19C) bullet survived the firing and had a frangibility similar to the 150R XM bullet. It appears that the best sintering temperature for 70:30 brass bullets is in the 1600-1700°F range and that for the 90:10 bronze bullet is between 1500-1600°F.

Other brass and bronze compositions may require different sintering temperatures. Also if the additives mentioned above or other additives are used, the bullets may need different sintering temperatures or pressing conditions.

The invention has been described with respect to preferred embodiments.

5 However, as those skilled in the art will recognize, modifications and variations in the specific details which have been described and illustrated (including blend compositions, sintering temperatures and compacting pressures, and bullet manufacturing techniques) may be resorted to without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

T200x

Table 1

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190 μ m (wt%)	Chunks > 1190 μ m (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
1A	80	1700	8.26	No	12.6	19.1	68.3	20	2
1B	88	1700	8.23	No	6.8	27.2	66.0	19	2
2A	80	1700	8.29	No	17.0	57.0	26.1	30	3
2B	88	1700	8.29	No	15.8	53.2	31.0	29	3
3	80	1700	8.24	No	1.4	32.4	66.2	17	2
4	80	1700	8.20	No	9.5	28.4	62.1	20	2
5	68	1500	8.02	No	5.4	23.3	71.3	17	2

Table 2

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190μm (wt%)	Chunks > 1190μm (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
6	80	1700	8.23	No	10.4	20.2	69.4	19	2
7	80	1700	8.23	No	14.1	50.7	35.1	27	3
8	80	1700	8.27	No	0.4	18.2	81.4	14	1
9	68	1500	7.92	Yes	59.6	29.8	10.6	46	5
10	64	1860	8.30	No	5.4	33.6	61.0	19	2

7220X

Table 3

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190 μ m (wt%)	Chunks > 1190 μ m (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
11	80	1700	8.25	No	8.7	19.5	71.8	18	2
12	80	1700	8.23	No	11.0	38.7	50.3	23	2
13	64	1500	8.02	Yes	53.4	34.4	12.2	44	4
14	80	1700	8.40	No	0.8	20.5	78.7	14	1

22

T23X

Table 4

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190μm (wt%)	Chunks > 1190μm (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
15	80	1700	8.26	No	12	21	67	20	2
16	80	1700	8.20	No	15	53	32	28	3
17	64	1860	8.28	No	8.7	74.2	17.0	29	3

T240X

Table 5

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190 μ m (wt%)	Chunks > 1190 μ m (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
18	80	1700	8.21	No	18	30	52	20	2

24

7250X

Table 6

9 mm Bullet Processing and Test Results

Blend No.	Mold Pressure (ksi)	Sinter Temp. (°F)	Density (g/cm ³)	Breakup in Barrel or Flight	Powder < 1190μm (wt%)	Chunks > 1190μm (wt%)	Bases (wt%)	Score	Frang. Rating
19A	88	1500	7.68	Yes	79	21	0	54	5
19B	96	1600	7.76	Yes	26	69	5	37	4
19C	88	1700	7.88	No	2	60	38	23	2
20A	88	1500	8.24	Yes	80	20	0	54	5
20B	88	1600	8.32	No	0	27	73	16	1

20